

First 6 weeks' compulsory key readings for compulsory unit 'GI400' at the Gender Institute of LSE (2009/10):

Week 1 -

Braidotti, R (2002) 'The Uses and Abuses of the Sex/Gender Distinction in European Feminist Practices', in G. Griggin and R. Braidotti (eds), Thinking Differently: A Reader in European Women's Studies. London: Zed Books. pp.285-307 . [HO1587 T44](#)

Song, M (2006) 'Gender in a Global World' in Evans, M, Davis, K and Lorber, J, eds., (2006) Handbook of Gender Studies and Women's Studies, London: Sage, pp. 186-195. [HO1180G33](#)

Week 2 -

Gayle Rubin (1975) "The |traffic in Women: Notes on the 'Political Economy' of Sex." in R Reiter, ed. Toward an Anthropology of Women. New York: Monthly Review Press, pp. 157-210

Week 3 -

Julia Kristeva (1981/1986) "Women's Time", in T. Moi (ed). The Kristeva Reader. Oxford: Basil Blackwell, pp. 187-214.

Week 4 -

John Jervis, 'Modernity's Sphinx: Women as Nature and Culture' in Jervis, Transgressing the modern, Blackwell, 1999, pp. 107-133

Kate Soper, 'Feminism and Enlightenment Legacies', in (eds. B.Taylor and S,Knott, Women, Gender and Enlightenment, pp.705-716.

Week 5 -

Butler J (1997) 'Melancholy Gender/Refused Identification' in The Psychic Life of Power: Theories in Subjection (Stanford, Stanford University press)

Fanon F (1991) 'The Fact of Blackness, Chapter 5 Black Skins White Masks (London, Pluto Press) pp.109-14-

Imogen Tyler,(2009) 'Against Abjection' Feminist Theory vol 10(1): 77-98 2009

Week 6 -

Foucault, M (1990) 'Method' Chapter 2, Part 4, "We "Other Victorians", Part 1 History of Sexuality Volume 1: An Introduction Harmondsworth: Penguin pp.3-13 and 92-102

'Governmentality', Power: Essential Works of Foucault 1954-1984 volume 3 Harmondsworth: Penguin pp. 201-222 [B2430.F722.E5 F76](#)

Stoler, A.L (1995) 'The Education of Desire and the Repressive Hypothesis', ch.6, Race and the Education of Desire: Foucault's History of Sexuality and Colonial Order of Things Durham: Duke University Press pp.165-209

If reading any of these texts, apply the analysis tool approach provided at the top of the [sexismbusters.org](#) welcome page, to ascertain whether these texts are biased against men or not. Tom Martin has, and filed his analysis with the court, which may be why the defence is no longer claiming no discrimination in the core texts, but instead, that any discrimination is 'plainly justifiable'. Tom Martin predicts the defence's justifications for discrimination will be on biased and inaccurate anti-male grounds themselves – and will be calling on gender research expert witnesses.